Working together: Farnesyl transferase inhibitors and statins block protein prenylation

Jonathan W. Wojtkowiak¹, Richard A. Gibbs², Raymond R. Mattingly¹,³

¹Department of Pharmacology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan; ²Purdue University, Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology and Purdue Cancer Center, West Lafayette, Indiana and ³Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Institute, Program in Molecular Biology and Genetics, Detroit, Michigan

Abstract
Farnesyl transferase inhibitors (FTIs) have so far proved to have limited value as single agents in clinical trials. This PharmSight will focus on the use of a novel group of FTIs that are most effective in vitro when used in combination with the “statin” class of anti-hypercholesterolemic agents, which also block protein prenylation. We recently showed that these novel FTIs in combination with lovastatin reduce Ras prenylation and induce an apoptotic response in malignant peripheral nerve sheath cells. The combination of statins with these new FTIs may produce profound synergistic cytostatic and cytotoxic effects against a variety of tumors and other proliferative disorders. Since statins are well tolerated in the clinic, we suggest that this combination approach should be tested in in vivo models.

Introduction
Modification of proteins with isoprenoid groups was identified in mammalian cells in the early 1980’s (1). Approximately 0.5% of proteins are modified by isoprenoids and a fraction of these are known to regulate critical cellular processes such as growth and survival (2). We will discuss in this PharmSight a novel combination approach that can block the isoprenylation of proteins and has the potential to induce cytostatic and cytotoxic responses against hyperproliferative diseases.

Numerous proteins contain a “CaaX” prenylation motif at the carboxyl terminus. This motif marks the protein to be modified by either a 15-carbon farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) or a 20-carbon geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP) on the cysteine of the “CaaX” box (Fig. 1) (3). When the CaaX box ends with a serine, methionine, or glutamine, the protein is farnesylated, whereas a CaaX box ending in leucine is preferentially geranylgeranylated (4). This modification occurs on the nascent precursor protein in the cytosol and allows the protein to associate with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Additional steps occur at the ER where Ras converting enzyme (RCE1) proteolytically removes the three remaining amino acids (-aaX) followed by methylation of the C-terminal cysteine residue by isoprenylcysteine carboxymethyltransferase (ICMT) (5).

Two major classes of drugs have been developed that block protein farnesylation. The first class, which includes lovastatin and various synthetic HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, are collectively referred to as the "statins" and act to reduce production of cholesterol through inhibition of the mevalonate pathway. This pathway provides the cell, via the key branch-point intermediate farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP), with cholesterol and the prenyl diphosphates used to modify numerous cellular proteins (6). Early studies on the potential toxicity of statins demonstrated that high levels could block cell growth (6), and there is strong evidence that this effect is due to the blockade of protein prenylation (7). Statins are known to reduce serum cholesterol, which has been shown in clinical trials to be beneficial to patients with coronary artery disease (8, 9). However, additional clinical evidence suggests that some cardiovascular benefits
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Figure 1. Ras small GTPases are modified at the C-terminal region by either a 15-carbon farnesyl isoprenoid or a 20-carbon geranylgeranyl isoprenoid. Ras and numerous other proteins depend on this initial posttranslational modification in order to traffic and associate with membranes where they can signal downstream to effector proteins. Since Ras has a major role in cancer development, inhibiting this prenylation step is a major focus. FTIs have been developed and were effective in preclinical studies but have not shown strong activity in the clinic. One reason may be the ability of certain proteins that are normally farnesylated, such as N-Ras and K-Ras, to be alternatively prenylated with a geranylgeranyl isoprenoid in the presence of FTIs. Thus, designing a therapy to inhibit alternative prenylation is critical. Our work has identified a therapy in which a combination of statin and novel FTIs is used to reduce Ras prenylation in a model where N-Ras is the predominant isoform expressed. This figure was adapted from Phillips M.R. and Cox A.D. (49).

Figure 2. Lovastatin/FTI-1 combination treatment reduced Ras prenylation in STS-26T MPNST cells. STS-26T cells were treated as indicated for 24 hours and whole cell lysates were probed for Ras prenylation status. Inhibition of prenylation is observed by the slower mobility or upshifted band via western analysis. Please refer to western blot methods from Wojtkowiak et al. (24). Single treatments of 1 μM FTI-1 or 1 μM lovastatin slightly increased the presence of the precursor Ras with continued expression of modified Ras. However, combination treatment with lovastatin plus FTI-1 greatly reduced the expression of modified Ras.

may not be due to reduced serum cholesterol (10). In some cases, the “non-cholesterol” effects may be due to inhibition of protein prenylation (11, 12). The potential ability of statins to block protein prenylation at clinically reasonable levels has led to significant interest in their effects on the growth of tumor cells. While retrospective analyses of clinical data from statin-treated patients have been contradictory, cellular data have clearly demonstrated antiproliferative effects of statins on tumor cells that correlated with their ability to block protein prenylation (13).

The second class of drugs that reduces protein farnesylation is those that directly target protein farnesyl transferase (FTase). Numerous inhibitors of FTase have been designed and include compounds that act as CaaX peptidomimetics that compete directly with the peptide substrate of FTase and those that are analogs of prenyl groups and compete with endogenous FPP for binding to FTase (14-16). Of these FTIs, two drugs derived from compound library screening efforts have progressed to phase III clinical trials – R115777/Zarnestra/tipifarnib (17) and SCH66336/Sarasar/lonafarnib (18). Both of these compounds inhibit FTase by competing with the CaaX substrate of the enzyme. They inhibit the growth of many human tumor cell lines \textit{in vitro} and have resulted in either tumor growth inhibition or tumor regression in a spectrum of xenograft models (3, 19).

Statins, through their action to limit cellular prenyl substrate pools, should potentiate the action of FTIs and have a particularly synergistic effect with FTIs that are competitive with the FPP substrate of the enzyme (20, 21). In fact, our group has shown that lovastatin in combination with the
FTI 3-allyfarnesol induces the relocalization of RhoB, a protein that is farnesylated or geranylgeranylated, from membrane fractions to cytosolic fractions in A10 vascular smooth muscle cells (22). The FTI 3-allyfarnesol was later modified with a pro-drug moiety that masked its modification by phosphorylation to allow improved cell penetration and efficacy. This pro-drug FTI (5b) used in combination with lovastatin also reduced RhoB prenylation and cell proliferation of STS-26T malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) cells (23). We recently published work showing FTI-1 and FTI-2, which were further developed to allow improved aqueous solubility, can reduce Ras prenylation and induce apoptosis when used in combination with nanomolar doses of lovastatin in two MPNST cell lines derived from patients with Type 1 neurofibromatosis (NF1), NF90-8 and ST88-14 (24). We propose that the combination of FTIs with statins may be more efficacious towards hyperproliferative disorders such as NF1 (25).

**Results**

We tested the efficacy of lovastatin and FTI-1 alone or in combination against a sporadic MPNST cell line, STS-26T. The effect on protein prenylation was observed by monitoring the migration pattern of Ras by western blotting (Fig. 2). The slower mobility or upshifted band represents the precursor molecule and is consistent with an inhibition of FTase function. Single treatments of DMSO and 1 μM FTI-1 had little detectable effect on blocking Ras prenylation while 1 μM lovastatin had a minimal effect at 24 hours. However, using the compounds in combination greatly increased the presence of the upper band, with a corresponding decrease in the lower modified Ras band. Since the predominant isoform of Ras that is expressed in these cells is N-Ras (26), these results suggest that the combination of lovastatin and FTI-1 can prevent the alternative modification of N-Ras with geranylgeranyl moieties (27).

In addition to blocking Ras prenylation, Fig. 3A presents proliferation data from an MTT assay on STS-26T cells. As observed with Ras prenylation, single treatments of FTI-1 or lovastatin had little effect and did not reduce proliferation as compared to the control treatments. The combination of lovastatin plus FTI-1 significantly reduced STS-26T proliferation. Lovastatin/FTI-1 combination treatment also blocks cell cycle progression of STS-26T cells (Fig. 3B). Lovastatin/FTI-1 combination treatment significantly increased the number of cells with G1 DNA content and decreased the S phase population, which is consistent with a cytostatic effect. At this early treatment time, there is a small increase in the proportion of apparently apoptotic cells.

**Figure 3.** Lovastatin/FTI-1 combination treatment reduces cell proliferation and induces a G1 cell cycle arrest in STS-26T cells. A. STS-26T cells were treated as described for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Cell viability was determined based on the cells’ ability to convert MTT to formazan precipitate. Please refer to MTT assay methods from Li et al., (50). Single treatments of DMSO (vehicle), lovastatin, or FTI-1 did not reduce STS-26T proliferation. Combination treatment of lovastatin plus FTI-1 blocked cell proliferation. B. STS-26T cell cycle progression was determined using fluorescence activating cell sorting (FACS). STS-26T cultures were treated for 24 hours on the day after plating. The histograms represent 10⁴ events. Please refer to FACS methods from Wojtkowiak et al., (24).
cells that becomes significant with more prolonged exposure to the drug combination (24).

**Discussion**

FTIs were initially designed to inhibit the prenylation of Ras small GTPases as single agents. Pre-clinical studies investigating the efficacy of FTIs against cancer cell lines demonstrated reduced protein prenylation and reversal of Ras transformed phenotypes. For example, the peptidomimetic FTI L-744,832 reduced the proliferation of 70% of tumor cell lines tested and induced tumor regression in an H-Ras transgenic mouse model (28, 29).

Unfortunately, the efficacy of FTIs in cell culture and mouse studies has not yet been translated into a positive clinical response. The results of three phase II trials for tipifarnib, R115777, have been reported against pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, and non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) (30-32). No responses were observed in the pancreatic cancer and NSCLC studies (30, 31), although there were nine responses and nine stable diseases in the advanced breast cancer study (32). Phase III studies observing the efficacy of tipifarnib compared to placebo effects in colorectal cancer reported no significant effects (33). Lonafarnib, SCH66336, tested against urothelial and colorectal cancer in phase II trials had no favorable response (34, 35). Results from phase III studies involving lonafarnib have not been reported yet.

Several factors may explain why FTIs worked extremely well in preclinical studies but significantly less well as single agents against solid tumors. FTIs were designed to inhibit the prenylation of Ras proteins, with the assumption that inhibition of maturation of the driving oncogene of many human cancers would yield therapeutic benefit. It has become apparent that K-Ras and N-Ras can be alternatively geranylgeranylated in the presence of FTIs (27) [see Fig. 1], which may provide an explanation for their limited activity. Further, since K- and N-Ras are more commonly mutated in human cancer than the exclusively farnesylated H-Ras, this “escape mechanism” could allow the cancer cells to continue growing regardless of FTase inhibition. Our hypothesis is that the combination of prenylation inhibitor lovastatin with an FPP-competitive FTI (24) will provide both very effective action as a synergistic FTI approach and also, again through limitation of cellular pools of prenyl precursors, blunt the ability of proteins such as N-Ras or K-Ras to become alternatively geranylgeranylated.

Another consideration is that although Ras isoforms such as K-Ras are important during the initiation of cancer development (36, 37) additional mutations must occur for a complete transformation. Thus, Ras may not be the sole driving force in many of the cancers in which FTIs were tested. Utilizing a compound that can reduce Ras prenylation in combination with drugs that inhibit alternative cellular functions may be more beneficial in the clinic. Current chemotherapeutic agents such as doxorubicin, cisplatin, and vinblastine, and paclitaxel are now being combined with FTIs in clinical trials in an attempt to create additive and synergistic treatments (38). Results from a phase II study using lonafarnib plus paclitaxel presented a synergy that produced a clinical response in 48% percent of NSCLC patients (39). It may also be worthwhile to consider the combination of both effective inhibition of protein prenylation and another targeted therapy, such as block of driving kinase pathways (40).

Another potential problem with the interpretation of the action of FTIs is that the most critical cellular target(s) for their anti-proliferative effects has not been rigorously established. Thus, while FTIs can inhibit the prenylation of the Ras isoforms during *in vitro* studies, reduced prenylation of other proteins such as RhoB, CENP-E, CENP-F, and Rheb may also be responsible for or contribute to the observed effects. For example, RhoB is a small GTPase that can either be modified with an FPP or GGPP isoprenoid even in the absence of perturbation of the pathways by drug treatment. Interestingly, Prendergast and colleagues have suggested that RhoB that is geranylgeranylated in the presence of FTIs induces an apoptotic response (41, 42). On the other hand, Sebti and colleagues have suggested that both farnesylated and geranylgeranylated RhoB can be antiproliferative and induce apoptosis (43). The combination of the novel FPP-competitive FTIs and lovastatin clearly blocks the prenylation of RhoB in MPNST cells (23). Centromeric proteins (CENP-E and CENP-F) are normally farnesylated and have an important role in cell division. The ability of FTIs to induce a G2 arrest may be directly linked to inhibition of CENP farnesylation (44, 45). The combination of lovastatin and FPP–competitive FTI induces a G1 arrest (not a G2 arrest) in several cell types, however (22, 24, 26). Rheb, another exclusively farnesylated small GTPase, also appears to be a critical target of FTIs (46, 47). Inhibition of Rheb farnesylation was also shown to be antiproliferative (48).
Statins are some of the most prescribed drugs and are taken with the goal of reducing serum cholesterol levels. Statins have also been shown to have beneficial effects that are independent of reduced cholesterol and may be due to reduced protein prenylation (11, 12). Statins may sensitize tumor cells to co-administered FTIs to provide a synergistic drug combination that does not exhibit toxicity to normal cells (24). We propose that this combination approach of statins plus FPP-competitive FTIs should be tested in in vivo models of cancer and other hyperproliferative disorders.
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